Log R-80 Not 1831 Rec R-76-30 and 34

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD WASHINGTON, D.C.

ISSUED: July 30, 1976

Forwarded to:

Mr. James C. McConnon, Esq.
Chairman
Southeastern Pennsylvania
Transportation Authority
2028 PSFS Building 12 South 12 St.
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107

SAFETY RECOMMENDATION(S)

R-76-32 and R-76-34

Mr. Paul H. Reistrup
President
Amtrak
955 L'Enfant Plaza North, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20024

On October 17, 1975, about 6:37 p.m., a northbound Penn Central Transportation Company (Penn Central) passenger train, No. 944, struck the rear of another Penn Central passenger train, No. 132, which had made an unscheduled stop near Wilmington, Delaware, because of an equipment malfunction. Train No. 939, a southbound Penn Central passenger train that was approaching on an adjacent track, struck the derailed equipment from No. 944. Twenty-five persons were injured in the initital collison. Property damage exceeded \$800,000.

The accident exposes the following areas in which corrective action is warranted:

- (1) Train No. 944 was permitted to enter a block already occupied by a standing passenger train. When passenger trains enter occupied blocks and are operated under the restricted speed rule, rear end collisions may result.
- (2) The engineer of No. 944 did not detect the presence of the standing No. 132 in time to stop because its silver color was not conspicuous in the fading light. The Safety Board has pointed out previously the need to improve conspicuity of trains.

- (3) Employe≥s of the Penn Central testified that emergency flagging equipment was not always available to them at the passenger train terminals and it was not available on the lead unit of No. 132. Trains should not be dispatched unless they are equipped with flagging equipment.
- (4) Rule 99 does not require that following trains be flagged if the trains are operated under automatic block signal rules and/or traffic control system rules. This accident illustrates the hazards of this exception to Rule 99 and demonstates the need for protection of stopped passenger trains in these territories.
- (5) After the accident occurred, the emergency lighting system and the communications system failed to function.
- (6) Employees of the Penn Central testified that they had not been trained in emergency procedures and in the establishment of priorities for the postaccident emergency period. Such training programs would increase the likelihood that effective procedures would be used following an accident. Since the effectiveness of any emergency plan is also dependent upon participation of emergency community services, any training program should include appropriate community participation.
- (7) Crewmembers on No. 944 evacuated the cab before the trains collided to escape injury, while a crewmember in the rear of No. 132 remained in the cab throughout the collision. Evacuation procedures are not a required part of training programs of railroad employees, but such training appears to be warranted.
- (8) Cab signals are supposed to provide continuously reliabile information and apparently, based on this investigation, some do not. This investigation could not establish the frequency of this occurrence; however, it should be established.
- (9) Trains 944 and 939 were not equipped with a speed indicator, yet operating rules require adherence to speed restrictions. Speed indicators are needed to insure rule compliance.

The National Transportation Safety Board has made nine recommendations to the Federal Railroad Administration to remedy these safety problems.

The National Transportation Safety Board has recommended that the Consolidated Rail Corporation (Con Rail) study the conclusions and the recommendations made to the Federal Railroad Administration in the report and take immediate appropriate action.

Since the Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority (SEPTA) and the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (AMTRAK) purchase service from Con Rail, they also can help to ensure safety at the contractural stage. Therefore, the National Transportation Safety Board recommends that the Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority and the National Passenger Corporation:

Require that trains be equipped with reasonably accurate speed indicators. (R-76-32) (Class II, Priority Followup)

Include in your agreements with Consolidated Rail Corporation (Con Rail) requirements that will provide for the safe transportation of passengers as well as for their protection and care in the event of an accident. (R-76-34) (Class II, Priority Followup)

TODD, Chairman, McADAMS, HOGUE, BURGESS, and HALEY, Members, concurred in the above recommendations.

By: Webster B. Todd, Jr.

Chairman